

United States Department of Commeri Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

[APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	08/752.032	11/19/96	BOYCE			F 007	86/206001
			18N2/1222		EXAMINER		
	TANTE IS CONS	-n			CAMPELL E		
	JANIS K FRASI FISH AND RICH					AMPELL, B	ER NUMBER
	225 FRANKLIN BOSTON MA 021				1	819	9
	DUSTON MH 02.	110-2604			DATE MAI		(
					DAIL WA	12	/22/97
1	This is a communication from COMMISSIONER OF PATEN	the examiner in cha ITS AND TRADEMA	rge of your ap RKS	plication.			
		_		CTION SUMMARY			
□ Ae	, esponsive to communication	on(s) filed on	10/8/	97			
9 11	nis action is FINAL.						
□ Sir	nce this application is in c	ondition for allowa	nce except	for formal matters, prosecu	rtion as t	o the merits is (closed in
ac	cordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte</i> (Quayle, 1935	5 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
which	ever is longer, from the m plication to become aban	ailing date of this	communicat	to expire ion. Failure to respond wit densions of time may be of	hin the pe	eriod for respons	e will cause
Dispo	sition of Claims		-	:			-
	Claim(s)	21-26				_ is/are pending	in the application
	Of the above, claim(s)	· , ·			is	/are withdrawn fi	rom consideratio
	Claim(s)					is	/are allowed.
	Claim(s)2				•	is	/are rejected.
	Claim(s)					is/aı	e objected to.
_	Claims			are	subject to	restriction or el	ection requirema
Appli	cation Papero	re .			•		-
	See the attached Notice	of Draftsperson's	Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.			
	The drawing(s) filed on _	·		is/are obj	cted to b	y the Examiner.	- ,
	The proposed drawing of	orrection, filed on	•	<u>. </u>	· · ·	is 🗌 approved	disapprov
П	The specification is object			**			
	The oath or declaration is	s objected to by th	e Examiner.				,
	tty under 35 U.S.C. § 1						
	•		ian priority (ındər 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d).	11	٠,
_				s of the priority documents		n	
.— -	received.						
-		No (Series Code	/Serial Num	ber)		•	
				nternational Bureau (PCT R			
*0	ertified copies not receive				•••	- <i>m</i> -	
	•		nestic oriorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	n:	٠,	·
	_	or a ciami for con	noous priorii		· ·		
ALIER	chment(s)	M DIU-803			•	-	
i i	Notice of Reference Cite Information Disclosure S		1440 Poss	r No(s) 5			•
_ _			- 1445, гарв	140(8).			
]	Interview Summary, PTC			049			
<u></u>	Notice of Draftsperson's			-948	. `		-
15	Notice of Informal Patent	nt Application, PTC - Lule J - SEE OF	H152 FICE ACTIC	ON ON THE FOLLOWING I	PAGES -		

Serial Number: 08/752,032

Art Unit: 1819

Paper# 9 Page 2 12/22/97

The amendment and declaration of Frederick M. Boyce filed October 8, 1997 have been entered.

The specification does not comply with the rules for nucleotide sequence disclosures, 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. The specification contains nucleotide sequences that are not identified by SEQ ID No. at p. 7, lines 19-20. Sequences must be identified by SEQ ID No. each time they are mentioned in the disclosure. See 37 CFR 1.821(d). Correction may require submission of a new sequence listing. If so, Applicants must submit a substitute sequence listing in both computer readable and paper forms, a statement that the two forms are identical, and an amendment directing the entry of the paper form into the specification. The specification should also be amended to identify the sequences pointed out above by SEQ ID No. See the attached "Notice to Comply."

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 26 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 26 of copending Application No. 08/311,157. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claim 1 is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claim. Since *in vitro* methods were elected in both 08/311,157 and allowed application 08/486,341, the *in vivo* method claimed in the instant application is distinct.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Senal Number: 08/752,032

Art Unit: 1819

Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, as previously stated (paper 4, pp. 3-6).

Applicant argues that the specification is enabling, citing the Boyce declaration. This argument is not persuasive. While the declaration demonstrates that baculovirus can be used to transiently express exogenous genes in a variety of cell types by *in vivo* administration, it does not show that one skilled in the art would be able to obtain a significant therapeutic benefit from such expression without undue experimentation. As stated in the previous Office action, sustained, high-level expression of introduced genes (required for gene therapy) is not routinely obtainable by those skilled in the art. Applicant's own publication (Boyce et al., ref. ES) shows that gene expression "peaks 12-24 hr postinfection and declines thereafter" (Fig. 4 and paragraph bridging pp. 2350-2351). It is no coincidence that the experiments described in the declaration measured gene expression after 24 hours. Boyce et al. conclude, "Much more work will be necessary to evaluate the...efficacy of AcMNPV as a tool for human gene therapy" (p. 2352, col. 2). Since this was published 18 months after the effective filing date of the instant application, any argument that the specification was enabling at the time the invention was made is not persuasive.

Claim 1 is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory

Serial Number: 08/752,032

Art Unit: 1819

action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce Campell, whose telephone number is 703-308-4205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 4:30 (Eastern time). The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jasemine Chambers, can be reached on 703-308-2035. The FAX phone numbers for group 1800 are
703-305-4242 and 703-305-3014.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Bruce Campell

BRUCE R. CAMPELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800

Bure Compell